# 30pCJ4

# High harmonic generation in solids driven by plasmonically enhanced near-fields

Kotaro Imasaka<sup>1)</sup>, Tomohiro Kaji<sup>1)</sup>, Tsutomu Shimura and <sup>O</sup>Satoshi Ashihara<sup>1)</sup>

Institute of Industrial Science, The University of Tokyo<sup>1)</sup> E-mail: ashihara@iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp

High harmonic generation (HHG) in a ZnO single crystal is driven by the enhanced near-fields of resonant nanoantennas. Non-perturbative HHG into deep-UV range is successfully induced by optical excitation of as low as 20 GW/cm<sup>2</sup>. The spectral selection rule is found to reflect crystal symmetry, suggesting the possibility of nano-scaled EUV sources and band-structure reconstruction.

## 1. Introduction

High harmonic generation in solids under the non-perturbative regime has been driven by few-cycle mid-infrared (MIR) electric-fields exceeding 1 V/nm<sup>1</sup>). The mechanism is described as inter-band tunneling, acceleration of crystal electrons/holes, and the following high harmonic (HH) radiation via intra-band current and/or inter-band polarization. Because solids have high atomic density, crystal symmetry, and characteristic band structures, solid HHG is expected to have great potential for efficient EUV attosecond sources, all-optical band-structure reconstruction, probing electron dynamics, etc.

A smart way to obtain intense optical field for driving strong-field phenomena is to confine electromagnetic fields by using nanostructures<sup>2</sup>). Recently, Au-coated sapphire nano-cone<sup>3</sup>), ZnO nano-cone<sup>4</sup>), and silicon ( $E_g = 1.2 \text{ eV}$ ) with metallic nanoantennas<sup>5</sup>) have been utilized to generate odd-order harmonic radiations. Here, we demonstrate antenna-enhanced HHG into deep-UV (DUV) range (9th, 222 nm) from ZnO ( $E_g = 3.4 \text{ eV}$ ), driven by MIR femtosecond pulses. Non-perturbative HHG is successfully induced by excitation of as low as 20 GW/cm<sup>2</sup>. Even-order as well as odd-order harmonics are observed, when the nanoantennas are oriented along the symmetry-broken crystal axis, which indicate that the spectral selection rule precisely reflects the crystal symmetry of the substrate material.

## 2. Antenna-ZnO hybrid devices

A 2D array of a rod-shaped Au nanoantenna is fabricated on a 0.5-mm-thick a-cut ZnO crystal by electron-beam lithography (SEM image in Fig. 1(a)). The antennas are designed to be resonant at the driving wavelength of 2  $\mu$ m. An FDTD simulation predicts the near-field distribution shown in Fig. 1(b), with an intensity enhancement factor of ~80 at the hotspots. To investigate the dependence of the HHG properties on crystal symmetry, each nanoantenna array is aligned parallel to either *m*-axis (sample I) or *c*-axis (sample II).

The excitation light-source is a MIR pulse (2  $\mu$ m, 100 fs), generated from a femtosecond OPA. The samples are exposed by the linearly-polarized MIR pulse parallel to the long axis of the antennas at normal incidence. The HHs parallel to incident polarization are recorded with a grating spectrometer.




Fig. 1. (a) A SEM image of an antenna array fabricated on ZnO. (b) A simulated near-field distribution of  $|E_x|$  inside the ZnO substrate (2-nm beneath the air-ZnO interface). The dashed line shows the antenna area.

#### 3 Results and discussions

Figures 2 (a, b) show the HH spectrum of a ZnO crystal with/without nanoantennas, for the sample I  $(E_{in} // m$ -axis) and for the sample II  $(E_{in} // c$ -axis) respectively. Here the excitation peak intensity is 0.10 TW/cm<sup>2</sup>. In contrast to that HHGs from the bulk ZnO samples without nanoantennas extend up to 7th order, HHGs from the samples I and II with nanoantennas extend up to 9th order (222 nm) including the bandgap fluorescence (FL). We can see that each of the 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9th-order harmonics is well enhanced (especially more than two-order enhancement for 9th order harmonic). The clear increase in HH intensity due to the nano-scaled plasmonic near-fields indicates substantial local enhancements of HHG. By considering the hotspot volume, the local enhancement factor is estimated to be  $10^3$ - $10^5$  for 7th- and 9th-order harmonics.

Whereas only odd-order harmonics are observed for the sample I, both odd- and even-order harmonics are observed for the sample II. In this way, we can see that the spectral selection rule of high harmonics is intrinsically governed by the crystal symmetry of ZnO. The drive-laser intensity dependence of the high harmonic yields (not shown) indicates that the plasmonic near-field is strong enough to induce the non-perturbative HHG at a far-field illumination intensity of ~0.02 TW/cm<sup>2</sup>.




Fig. 2. (a, b) HH spectra measured at input intensity of 0.10 TW/cm2 for (a) the sample I ( $E_{in}$  // *m*-axis) and (b) the sample II ( $E_{in}$  // *c*-axis). The red (black) lines indicate the HH spectra generated from ZnO with (without) antennas.

#### 4 Conclusions

We demonstrate antenna-enhanced HHG into DUV range in a ZnO crystal using MIR femtosecond pulses of an intensity of as low as 20 GW/cm<sup>2</sup>. In the presence of the antennas, orders-of-magnitude enhanced conversion efficiency at the hot-spots brings about ten-fold enhancement in the total harmonic yield. We successfully generate even-order harmonics as well as odd-order harmonics by resonantly-enhanced plasmonic near-fields, by orienting resonant nanoantennas along the symmetry-broken crystal axis. The results indicate that the spectral selection rule precisely reflects the crystal symmetry and that HH radiations are dominantly generated from a ZnO substrate, not from metallic nanoantennas. Nanoantennas will be useful for the realization of HHG driven by compact light-sources, precise control of HH properties, and various strong-field phenomena in solids.

### Reference

1) S. Ghimire, A. D. DiChiara, E. Sistrunk, P. Agostini, L. F. DiMauro, D. A. Reis, Nat. Phys. 7, 138 (2011).

2) F. Kusa, K. E. Echternkamp, G. Herink, C. Ropers, S. Ashihara, AIP Adv. 5, 077138 (2015).

3) S. Han, H. Kim, Y. W. Kim, Y. J. Kim, S. Kim, I. Y. Park, and S. W. Kim, Nat. Commun. 7, 13105 (2016).

4) M. Sivis, M. Taucer, G. Vampa, K. Johnston, A. Staudte, A. Y. Naumov, D. M. Villeneuve, C. Ropers, P. B. Corkum, Science 357, 303-306 (2017).

5) G. Vampa, B. G. Ghamsari, S. S. Mousavi, T. J. Hammond, A. Olivieri, E. Lisicka-Skrek, A. Yu Naumov, D. M. Villeneuve, A. Staudte, P. Berini, P. B. Corkum, Nat. Phys. 13, 659 (2017).